

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/917,198	07/27/2001	Lakshminarayanan Gunaseelan	A-69523/RMA	8315
7590 01/04/2005			EXAMINER	
FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT LLP Suite 3400			JACOBS, LASHONDA T	
Four Embarcadero Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Francisco, CA 94111-4187			2157	

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/917,198	GUNASEELAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	LaShonda T Jacobs	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		; ;				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju	<u>ly 2001</u> .	:				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		:				
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	n					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		<u>:</u>				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		:				
8) Claim(s) 1-39 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Augliostica Bonon		;				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		†				
<u> </u>	ndority under 35 LLS C & 110(a)	(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau		: .				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d. :				
		4				
		÷				
Attachment(s)		:				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				
	, <u> </u>					

Application/Control Number: 09/917,198

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121.
 - I. Claims 1-11, 12, 13-25 and 26, drawn to a delivery system for use in a client server computer architecture in which the server provides streaming media assets to at least one client over a network wherein the media assets can have a plurality of data formats, comprising a packet producer that acquires at least one streaming media asset in packetized form and places time stamps, a time stamp packet queue containing packets with time stamps in a first in, first out order, and a feeder module that removes module packets from the time stamp packet queueclassified in class 709/231.
 - II. Claims 27-28, 35, 38 and 39 drawn to a method of performing admission control for streaming media assets delivered to a client server computer architecture in which the server provides streaming media assets to at least one client over a computer network, the method comprising, defining a time window in terms of a first duration of time, computing the number of bytes needed to be delivered during the time window..., translating the computed number of bytes into a first time to process value fir the streaming media asset, and admitting for delivery the first streaming media asset if the first time to process value is smaller than the time window, classified in class 370/230.
 - III. Claims 29-31, 32-34, 36 and 37, drawn to a method of resolving delivery conflicts between two streaming media assets delivered simultaneously by a server to at least one

Art Unit: 2157

client, wherein the at least one client has a pre read size value that indicates a capability of the client to pre read data, the streaming media assets comprising data packets having delivery time stamps, the method comprising the steps of detecting a delivery conflict between the at least two streaming media assets, adjusting at least one of the time stamps to indicate an early delivery for at least one of the packets,..., and delivering the packets at least by times specified by the time stamps, classified in class 709/223.

- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from one another because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- The inventions are distinct, each from one another because of the following reasons:

 Inventions I and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). Should applicant traverse on the ground that the

Art Unit: 2157

species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- The inventions are distinct, each from one another because of the following reasons: 4. Inventions II and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a 4. separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/917,198

Page 5

Art Unit: 2157

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Invention I is not required for Invention II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an 7. election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 8. inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

A telephone call was made to Michael Ananian on December 13, 2004, regarding the election/restriction requirement. Mr. Ananian did not return call about the restriction. Application/Control Number: 09/917,198

Art Unit: 2157

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to LaShonda T. Jacobs whose telephone number is 703-305-7494.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 703-308-7562. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LaShonda T. Jacobs

Page 6

Examiner

Art Unit 2157

ltj

December 21, 2004

ARIO ETIENNE

SUPERVISÓRY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100